



"Measurement of the production cross section ratio $\sigma(\chi_{b2}(1P)) / \sigma(\chi_{b1}(1P))$ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV"

CMS ; Basegmez, Suzan ; Beluffi, Camille ; Bruno, Giacomo Luca ; Castello, Roberto ; Caudron, Adrien ; Ceard, Ludivine ; Da Silveira, Gustavo Gil ; Delaere, Christophe ; Du Pree, Tristan ; Favart, Denis ; Forthomme, Laurent ; Giammanco, Andrea ; Hollar, Jonathan ; Jez, Pavel ; Komm, Matthias ; Lemaitre, Vincent ; Nuttens, Claude ; Pagano, Davide ; Perrini, Lucia ; Pin, Arnaud ; Piotrkowski, Krzysztof ; Popov, Andrey ; Quertenmont, Loïc ; Selvaggi, Michele ; Vidal Maroño, Miguel ; Vizan Garcia, Jesús Manuel

Abstract

A measurement of the production cross section ratio $\sigma(\chi_{b2}(1P)) / \sigma(\chi_{b1}(1P))$ is presented. The $\chi_{b1}(1P)$ and $\chi_{b2}(1P)$ bottomonium states, promptly produced in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, are detected by the CMS experiment at the CERN LHC through their radiative decays $\chi_{b1,2}(1P) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) + \gamma$. The emitted photons are measured through their conversion to electron-positron pairs, whose reconstruction allows the two states to be resolved. The $\Upsilon(1S)$ is measured through its decay to two muons. An event sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 20.7 inverse femtobarns is used to measure the cross section ratio in a phase-space region defined by the photon pseudorapidity, $|\eta[\gamma]| < 1.0$; the $\Upsilon(1S)$ rapidity, $|\eta[\Upsilon]| < 1.5$; and the $\Upsilon(1S)$ transverse momentum, $7 < p_T[\Upsilon] < 40$ GeV. The cross section ratio shows no significant dependence on the $\Upsilon(1S)$ transverse momentum, with a measured average value of 0...

Document type : Article de périodique (Journal article)

Référence bibliographique

CMS ; Basegmez, Suzan ; Beluffi, Camille ; Bruno, Giacomo Luca ; Castello, Roberto ; et. al. *Measurement of the production cross section ratio $\sigma(\chi_{b2}(1P)) / \sigma(\chi_{b1}(1P))$ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV*. In: *Physics Letters. Section B: Nuclear, Elementary Particle and High-Energy Physics*, Vol. B743, p. 383-402 (2015)

DOI : 10.1016/j.physletb.2015.02.048

CERN-PH-EP/2013-037
2015/03/18

CMS-BPH-13-005

Measurement of the production cross section ratio $\sigma(\chi_{b2}(1P))/\sigma(\chi_{b1}(1P))$ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV

The CMS Collaboration*

Abstract

A measurement of the production cross section ratio $\sigma(\chi_{b2}(1P))/\sigma(\chi_{b1}(1P))$ is presented. The $\chi_{b1}(1P)$ and $\chi_{b2}(1P)$ bottomonium states, promptly produced in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, are detected by the CMS experiment at the CERN LHC through their radiative decays $\chi_{b1,2}(1P) \rightarrow Y(1S) + \gamma$. The emitted photons are measured through their conversion to e^+e^- pairs, whose reconstruction allows the two states to be resolved. The $Y(1S)$ is measured through its decay to two muons. An event sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 20.7 fb^{-1} is used to measure the cross section ratio in a phase-space region defined by the photon pseudorapidity, $|\eta^\gamma| < 1.0$; the $Y(1S)$ rapidity, $|y^Y| < 1.5$; and the $Y(1S)$ transverse momentum, $7 < p_T^Y < 40 \text{ GeV}$. The cross section ratio shows no significant dependence on the $Y(1S)$ transverse momentum, with a measured average value of $0.85 \pm 0.07 (\text{stat+syst}) \pm 0.08 (\text{BF})$, where the first uncertainty is the combination of the experimental statistical and systematic uncertainties and the second is from the uncertainty in the ratio of the χ_b branching fractions.

Published in Physics Letters B as doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2015.02.048.

1 Introduction

Despite considerable efforts over the last decades, hadron formation, which is part of the non-perturbative sector of quantum chromodynamics (QCD), remains poorly understood within the standard model of particle physics. Heavy-quarkonium production is an excellent probe of hadron formation. In the past years, significant progress has been made in the theory sector [1], especially in the framework of nonrelativistic QCD (NRQCD) [2]. This framework factorizes into distinct processes the short-distance creation of a heavy quark-antiquark pair, in either a color-singlet or a color-octet configuration, and the long-distance formation of the quarkonium bound-state. The first process is presently calculated to next-to-leading order in perturbative QCD [3]. The bound-state formation is described by transition probabilities, called long-distance matrix elements (LDMEs), which are assumed to be constant (independent of quarkonium transverse momentum and rapidity) and universal (independent of the collision system and energy). In the Fock-state expansion of the heavy-quarkonium state, only a small number of color-singlet and color-octet terms contribute in the limit of small relative quark velocity v . The color-octet LDMEs are not easily calculable and the dominant ones are, therefore, treated as free parameters and adjusted to agree with the experimental data [4–6].

The ratio of P-wave quarkonia production cross sections is a reliable test of predictions because many theoretical, as well as experimental, uncertainties cancel out. Prompt χ_c measurements in hadron collisions were not possible until the advent of precise vertex detectors that allowed the separation of promptly produced χ_c from those coming from the decay of B mesons [7]. This ability is important, as NRQCD predictions are valid only for promptly produced χ_c . In the case of bottomonium, measurements are more difficult owing to the reduced production cross sections and the small separation in mass (19.4 MeV) between the $\chi_{b1}(1P)$ and the $\chi_{b2}(1P)$ (for readability the 1P is dropped hereafter). The production ratio of χ_{c2} and χ_{c1} is discussed in recent theoretical papers [3, 8], but the debate on the importance of color-octet contributions remains open. In the bottomonium sector, the NRQCD velocity expansion is more rigorously valid given the smaller relative quark velocity. Therefore, the measurement of the χ_{b2} to χ_{b1} production cross section ratio should give further insight into the mechanism that governs quarkonium production [8]. At the LHC, the charmonium χ_{c2}/χ_{c1} production cross section ratio was measured by the LHCb [9], CMS [10], and ATLAS [11] experiments, using data collected in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. More recently, the LHCb experiment also reported a measurement of the χ_{b1} and χ_{b2} production cross section ratio using combined $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV data [12].

This Letter presents a measurement of the χ_{b2}/χ_{b1} production cross section ratio. The χ_{b1} and χ_{b2} states are reconstructed by detecting their radiative decays $\chi_{b1,2} \rightarrow Y(1S) + \gamma$, which is the dominant decay mode, with the $Y(1S)$ decaying into two muons. An accurate measurement of the photon energy (typically in the range 0.5–2 GeV) is obtained from the reconstruction of the momentum of the electron-positron pair originating from the photon conversion in the beam pipe or in the inner layers of the CMS silicon tracker. The resulting mass resolution of the χ_b candidates, around 5 MeV, is sufficient to resolve the two $\chi_{b1,2}$ peaks at the expense of a limited yield, given the small reconstruction efficiency for such low-energy photons. The cross section ratio is obtained as

$$\mathcal{R} \equiv \frac{\sigma(\text{pp} \rightarrow \chi_{b2} + X)}{\sigma(\text{pp} \rightarrow \chi_{b1} + X)} = \frac{N_{\chi_{b2}}}{N_{\chi_{b1}}} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{\chi_{b1}}}{\varepsilon_{\chi_{b2}}} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{B}(\chi_{b1} \rightarrow Y(1S) + \gamma)}{\mathcal{B}(\chi_{b2} \rightarrow Y(1S) + \gamma)}, \quad (1)$$

where $N_{\chi_{b1,2}}$ are the yields of $\chi_{b1,2}$ signal candidates, simultaneously obtained from an unbinned maximum likelihood fit of the $\mu\mu\gamma$ invariant-mass spectrum, $\varepsilon_{\chi_{b1}}/\varepsilon_{\chi_{b2}}$ is the ratio of the acceptance and efficiency corrections for the two processes obtained from a full detector sim-

ulation, and $\mathcal{B}(\chi_{b1,2} \rightarrow Y(1S) + \gamma)$ are the branching fractions of the corresponding radiative decays [13]. The results are presented in four bins of $Y(1S)$ transverse momentum, p_T^Y , in the range 7–40 GeV. This choice was driven by the trigger requirements at low p_T , and the amount of available data at high p_T .

2 CMS detector and event samples

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the superconducting solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter, and a brass/scintillator hadron calorimeter. Muons are measured in the pseudorapidity range $|\eta^\mu| < 2.4$ in gas-ionization detectors embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. The first level of the CMS trigger system, composed of custom hardware processors, uses information from the calorimeters and muon detectors to select the most interesting events. The high-level trigger processor farm further decreases the event rate before data storage. A more detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in Ref. [14].

The analysis is based on the $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV pp data sample collected by CMS in 2012 at the CERN LHC, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 20.7 fb^{-1} . The events have been selected at the trigger level by requiring opposite-sign muon pairs of invariant mass in the range 8.5–11.5 GeV, dimuon p_T larger than 6.9 GeV, a distance of closest approach of each muon track to the beam axis of less than 5 mm, and a χ^2 probability from the kinematic fit of the muons to a common vertex larger than 0.5%.

To parameterize the reconstructed $\chi_{b1,2}$ mass distributions and evaluate the reconstruction efficiency, a detailed Monte Carlo (MC) simulation based on GEANT4 [15] was performed. About 40 million events for each χ_b state were propagated through an accurate description of the CMS detector, including realistic trigger emulations and reconstruction algorithms identical to those used to process the collected data. Since the photon conversion probability multiplied by the reconstruction efficiency is less than 1% for photons of energy below 1 GeV, a large number of events is needed. To reduce CPU usage, the χ_b mesons were generated alone, without any “underlying event”. This simplification should have no influence on the results of the analysis because any possible effect of accompanying particles on the track reconstruction efficiencies is identical for the two χ_b states, cancelling in the ratio. Both χ_b samples were produced using the PYTHIA event generator [16], with the χ_b p_T distributions parameterized on the basis of the $Y(2S)$ p_T spectrum measured by CMS [17]. The χ_b mesons were generated in the rapidity range $|y| < 2.0$ and forced to decay into $Y(1S) + \gamma$, with the $Y(1S)$ mesons decaying to dimuons. Only simulated events where a photon conversion occurred were further processed and reconstructed.

3 Event reconstruction and selection

The CMS muon reconstruction procedure [18] identifies muons by requiring that tracks reconstructed in the silicon tracker be matched with at least one muon segment in any muon detector. To ensure an accurate p_T measurement and to suppress the contribution from decays-in-flight of pions and kaons, the number of silicon tracker layers with at least one hit must be larger than five, with two of them in the silicon pixel layers, and the track-fit χ^2 per degree of freedom must be smaller than 1.8. Loose selections are applied to the transverse and longitudinal muon impact parameters, $d_{xy} < 3$ cm and $|d_z| < 30$ cm, respectively, to further suppress de-

cays in flight and cosmic ray muons. The selected muons must have a transverse momentum p_T^μ and pseudorapidity η^μ in the fiducial kinematic region $p_T^\mu > 3.5 \text{ GeV}$ and $|\eta^\mu| < 1.9$. Each event containing a pair of opposite-sign muons is kept in the analysis sample if the dimuon invariant mass $m_{\mu\mu}$ is between 8.5 and 11 GeV, its absolute rapidity is less than 1.5, and the χ^2 probability of the dimuon kinematic fit (with the two muon tracks constrained to a common vertex) is larger than 1%. To select $Y(1S)$ candidates, $m_{\mu\mu}$ is required to be within 3σ of the $Y(1S)$ mass, where the dimuon mass resolution σ is parameterized as a function of the $Y(1S)$ rapidity, and is obtained by fitting the dimuon mass distribution in narrow dimuon rapidity bins. This parameterization accounts for the significant rapidity dependence of the dimuon mass resolution, from around 65 MeV at $y = 0$ to around 120 MeV at $|y| = 1.5$.

The low-energy photons produced in the χ_b radiative decays that convert into electrons and positrons often produce tracks that are rather asymmetric, with one of the two tracks carrying a small fraction of the photon's energy. Given that these tracks rarely reach the calorimeter, they are reconstructed exclusively using information from the silicon tracker. An algorithm optimized for the reconstruction of low- p_T displaced tracks has been used, relying on an iterative tracking procedure [19].

The track pairs used to reconstruct the converted photons are required to fulfill the following selection criteria: the two tracks must be of opposite charge; one must have at least four hits in the silicon tracker layers and the other at least three hits; the innermost hits of the two tracks must be less than 5 cm apart along the beam direction; both tracks must have a reduced track-fit χ^2 smaller than 10; the two tracks should be almost parallel to each other, having angular separations $\Delta(\cot \theta) < 0.1$ and $\Delta\phi < 0.2$ rad, where θ and ϕ are the polar and azimuthal angles, respectively, defined at the common vertex; the primary pp vertex associated with the photon conversion is required to lie outside both track helices; defining d_m as the distance between the centers of the two circles formed by the tracks in the transverse plane minus the sum of their radii, the condition $-0.25 < d_m < 1$ cm must be satisfied; finally, the conversion vertex must be at least 1.5 cm away from the beam axis in the transverse plane, in order to suppress the background from π^0 Dalitz decays.

The analysis is performed on events having a photon with pseudorapidity $|\eta^\gamma| < 1.0$, where the photon energy is measured with the best resolution, an important factor for a clean separation between the two χ_b peaks. When the $Y(1S)$, loosely selected as described in above, and converted photons are paired to form χ_b candidates, the distance along the beam axis between the dimuon vertex and the extrapolated photon trajectory is required to be less than 1 mm. The invariant mass of the χ_b candidate, $m_{\mu\mu\gamma}$, is calculated through a kinematic fit, which constrains the dimuon invariant mass to the $Y(1S)$ mass and the electron-positron invariant mass to zero. In addition, the electron and positron are constrained to a common vertex, as are the two muons and the photon. The χ_b candidates are retained if the χ^2 probability of the kinematic fit is larger than 2%. This approach significantly reduces the effect of the muon momentum resolution on the χ_b mass resolution.

4 Analysis procedure

The shape of the reconstructed invariant-mass distributions of the χ_b candidates is evaluated through MC simulation, performed under the assumption that the intrinsic width of the χ_b states, predicted to be smaller than 1 MeV [20], is negligible compared to the mass resolution, which is of the order of 5 MeV. Since the low-mass tail of the χ_{b2} shape falls under the χ_{b1} peak, it is important to use a reliable parameterization of the resolution function when evaluating the ratio of the χ_{b2} and χ_{b1} yields, $N_{\chi_{b2}}/N_{\chi_{b1}}$. The χ_b mass resolution is dominated by

the energy resolution of the converted photon and has a clear low-mass tail, typical of processes involving radiative losses (the electrons and positrons lose energy when traversing the tracker material). The simulated signal shape also reveals the presence of a small high-mass tail due to multiple scattering; the signal response is parameterized by a double-sided Crystal Ball (CB) function [21] consisting of a Gaussian core with two power-law tails, with independent exponents and transition points.

The ratio $N_{\chi_{b2}}/N_{\chi_{b1}}$ is measured with an unbinned maximum likelihood fit to the $\mu\mu\gamma$ invariant-mass distribution, in four bins of $Y(1S)$ p_T . The χ_{b1} and χ_{b2} probability density functions are modelled by double-sided CB functions with shape parameters fitted to the simulated distributions in each p_T bin of the $Y(1S)$. The total χ_{b1} yield, the $N_{\chi_{b2}}/N_{\chi_{b1}}$ ratio, and the total number of background events N_{bkg} are free parameters when fitting the data. The underlying continuum background, composed predominantly of events where the $Y(1S)$ and photon are unrelated, is modelled by a probability distribution function proportional to $(m - m_0)^\lambda \cdot \exp(-\nu(m - m_0))$, where m is the $\mu\mu\gamma$ invariant mass obtained from the four-track kinematic fit, $m_0 = 9.5 \text{ GeV}$, and λ and ν are free parameters. The fit is performed in the $\mu\mu\gamma$ mass region 9.7–10.1 GeV. Figure 1 shows the fitted invariant-mass distributions from data for each of the four $Y(1S)$ p_T bins considered, while Table 1 gives the corresponding fit results.

Table 1: Parameters extracted from the fits to the measured $\mu\mu\gamma$ invariant mass distributions, in the four $Y(1S)$ p_T bins considered. The quoted uncertainties are statistical only. The parameters are defined in the text.

| $p_T^Y [\text{GeV}]$ | 7–11 | 11–16 | 16–20 | 20–40 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| $N_{\chi_{b2}}/N_{\chi_{b1}}$ | 0.65 ± 0.12 | 0.51 ± 0.06 | 0.49 ± 0.08 | 0.54 ± 0.07 |
| $N_{\chi_{b1}}$ | 392 ± 37 | 655 ± 39 | 343 ± 27 | 474 ± 31 |
| N_{bkg} | 5772 ± 86 | 3909 ± 72 | 1401 ± 43 | 1431 ± 44 |
| λ | 3.50 ± 0.23 | 2.08 ± 0.45 | 0.78 ± 0.72 | 0.79 ± 0.71 |
| $\nu [\text{GeV}^{-1}]$ | 6.01 ± 0.66 | 4.09 ± 1.17 | 1.59 ± 1.80 | 3.19 ± 1.85 |

The measurement of the cross section ratio \mathcal{R} , defined in Eq. (1), depends on the ratio of the χ_{b1} and χ_{b2} measurement acceptance and efficiencies, $\varepsilon_{\chi_{b1}}/\varepsilon_{\chi_{b2}} = (N_{\chi_{b1}}^{\text{rec}}/N_{\chi_{b1}}^{\text{gen}})/(N_{\chi_{b2}}^{\text{rec}}/N_{\chi_{b2}}^{\text{gen}})$, where, for each p_T^Y bin, N^{rec} is the number of simulated candidates that are reconstructed and pass the event selection criteria, while N^{gen} is the corresponding number of generated candidates, in the kinematic window $|y^Y| < 1.5$, $p_T^\mu > 3.5 \text{ GeV}$, $|\eta^\mu| < 1.9$, and $|\eta^\gamma| < 1.0$. The reconstructed kinematic distributions of the simulated decay products are found to be in agreement with the measured ones. The values of the acceptance and efficiency ratios, $\varepsilon_{\chi_{b1}}/\varepsilon_{\chi_{b2}}$, are shown in Table 2. The ratio of the acceptances times efficiencies differs from unity owing to the increased detection efficiency of the higher-energy photon from the χ_{b2} decay.

Table 2: Acceptance and efficiency ratios, $\varepsilon_{\chi_{b1}}/\varepsilon_{\chi_{b2}}$, computed from MC simulation. Uncertainties are statistical only.

| $p_T^Y [\text{GeV}]$ | 7–11 | 11–16 | 16–20 | 20–40 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| $\varepsilon_{\chi_{b1}}/\varepsilon_{\chi_{b2}}$ | 0.85 ± 0.05 | 0.91 ± 0.05 | 0.91 ± 0.07 | 0.92 ± 0.04 |

5 Systematic uncertainties

The χ_{b1} and χ_{b2} signal shapes are derived from MC simulation. To evaluate the uncertainty in the $N_{\chi_{b2}}/N_{\chi_{b1}}$ ratio stemming from the imperfect parameterization of the MC signal shape, caused by the finite number of simulated events, a large number of pseudo-experiments are




Figure 1: Invariant-mass distributions of the $\mu\mu\gamma$ candidates for each of the four $Y(1S)$ p_T bins considered in the analysis. The fitted χ_{b1} and χ_{b2} signals are parameterized with double-sided CB functions determined using simulated events. The combinatorial background is described by the product of an exponential and a power-law function. The solid line gives the result of the overall fit, with the dashed and dashed-dotted lines showing the χ_{b1} and χ_{b2} contributions, respectively. The dotted line represents the background contribution.

generated, randomly drawing sets of shape parameters using the covariance matrices of the fits to the simulated distributions. They are then used to fit the measured mass distributions, and the resulting $N_{\chi_{b2}}/N_{\chi_{b1}}$ distribution is fitted with a Gaussian function, the standard deviation of which is taken as the systematic uncertainty corresponding to the “signal parameters”.

To account for possible discrepancies between the simulated and measured events regarding, in particular, the energy scale calibration and the measurement resolution, alternative data-fitting schemes are used, leaving some of the signal shape parameters free in the fit to the measured mass distributions. A Chebyshev polynomial function is also used as an alternative model for the shape of the mass distribution of the background. The maximum variation in the $N_{\chi_{b2}}/N_{\chi_{b1}}$ ratio with these different fitting strategies is taken as the “signal and background modelling” systematic uncertainty. The fitting procedure is found to be unbiased, as judged using pseudo-experiments where a certain $N_{\chi_{b2}}/N_{\chi_{b1}}$ value is injected; the fitted results deviate on average from the input values by less than 10% of the statistical uncertainty. It has also been verified

that the $N_{\chi_{b2}}/N_{\chi_{b1}}$ ratio is insensitive to the addition of a signal term describing the χ_{b0} state. The possible influence of multiple primary vertices in the event (“pileup”) on the $N_{\chi_{b2}}/N_{\chi_{b1}}$ measurement was investigated by repeating the analysis in subsamples of events with different numbers of reconstructed primary vertices. No statistically significant effect is observed.

Another source of systematic uncertainty in the evaluation of \mathcal{R} is the statistical uncertainty in $\varepsilon_{\chi_{b1}}/\varepsilon_{\chi_{b2}}$, reflecting the finite size of the simulated event samples used to evaluate the measurement acceptances and efficiencies. The influence of the generated χ_{b1} and χ_{b2} p_T spectra on $\varepsilon_{\chi_{b1}}/\varepsilon_{\chi_{b2}}$ is evaluated by using alternative functions instead of the Y(2S) spectrum. A reweighting procedure is used to obtain the values of $\varepsilon_{\chi_{b1}}/\varepsilon_{\chi_{b2}}$ corresponding to scenarios where the χ_{b1} and χ_{b2} are both produced according to the Y(1S) or Y(3S) p_T spectra, as well as to mixed scenarios where the two states have different spectra: Y(1S) for the χ_{b1} and Y(2S) for the χ_{b2} , or Y(2S) for the χ_{b1} and Y(3S) for the χ_{b2} . The maximum variation in the $\varepsilon_{\chi_{b1}}/\varepsilon_{\chi_{b2}}$ values obtained with all hypotheses is taken as the χ_b p_T spectra uncertainty. Possible dependencies of the $\varepsilon_{\chi_{b1}}/\varepsilon_{\chi_{b2}}$ determination on the description of the tracking detector material in the MC simulation have been found to be negligible.

The acceptances and efficiencies are evaluated under the assumption that the χ_{b1} and χ_{b2} are both produced unpolarized. Polarization affects the angular and p_T distributions of the radiated photon; since the photon reconstruction efficiency significantly depends on the photon p_T , especially at low p_T , the ratio of acceptances and efficiencies depends on the polarization scenario. In order to investigate this effect, the unpolarized MC distributions are reweighted to reproduce the theoretical angular distributions of χ_b decay products expected for different χ_b polarizations [22]. The acceptance and efficiency ratio is recalculated assuming that the χ_{b1} is produced unpolarized or with helicity $m_{\chi_{b1}} = 0, \pm 1$, in combination with the assumption that the χ_{b2} is produced unpolarized or with helicity $m_{\chi_{b2}} = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$, both in the helicity and Collins–Soper [23] frames. The maximal variations of $\varepsilon_{\chi_{b1}}/\varepsilon_{\chi_{b2}}$ in these 4×6 scenarios with respect to the “both unpolarized” case have a negligible influence (at the percent level) on the cross section ratio, well below the other uncertainties. Table 3 summarizes the systematic uncertainties considered in the analysis.

Table 3: Relative systematic uncertainties in $\frac{N_{\chi_{b2}}}{N_{\chi_{b1}}} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{\chi_{b1}}}{\varepsilon_{\chi_{b2}}}$, in percent, for the four p_T^Y bins considered.

| p_T^Y [GeV] | 7–11 | 11–16 | 16–20 | 20–40 |
|---|------|-------|-------|-------|
| Signal parameters | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 |
| Signal and background modelling | 6.8 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 6.1 |
| $\varepsilon_{\chi_{b1}}/\varepsilon_{\chi_{b2}}$ statistical uncertainty | 6.4 | 5.8 | 7.2 | 4.4 |
| Choice of χ_b p_T spectra | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 4.8 |
| Total | 10.3 | 9.1 | 9.9 | 9.6 |

6 Results and discussion

The ratio \mathcal{R} of the χ_{b2} and χ_{b1} production cross sections in the Y(1S) + γ decay channel for each p_T^Y bin is obtained by correcting the $N_{\chi_{b2}}/N_{\chi_{b1}}$ yield ratio (Table 1) with the corresponding acceptance and efficiency ratio $\varepsilon_{\chi_{b1}}/\varepsilon_{\chi_{b2}}$ (Table 2).

Figure 2 shows the measured $\sigma(\chi_{b2})/\sigma(\chi_{b1})$ cross section ratio, as a function of p_T^Y , before (left) and after (right) multiplying by the decay branching fractions, taken from Ref. [13]. The relative uncertainty in the ratio of the branching fractions is 9%. The numerical values are given in Table 4.

Table 4: The cross section ratio $\sigma(\chi_{b2}) / \sigma(\chi_{b1})$ measured in four p_T^Y bins before and after multiplying by the $\chi_b \rightarrow Y(1S) + \gamma$ branching fractions [13]. The second column gives the average p_T value for each bin. The first uncertainty is statistical, the second is systematic, and the third reflects the uncertainty in the branching fraction.

| p_T^Y [GeV] | $\langle p_T^Y \rangle$ [GeV] | $\sigma(\chi_{b2}) / \sigma(\chi_{b1}) \times \mathcal{B}(\chi_{b2}) / \mathcal{B}(\chi_{b1})$ | $\sigma(\chi_{b2}) / \sigma(\chi_{b1})$ |
|---------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| 7–11 | 8.7 | $0.56 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.06$ | $0.99 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.09$ |
| 11–16 | 12.9 | $0.47 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.04$ | $0.83 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.07$ |
| 16–20 | 17.5 | $0.45 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.04$ | $0.80 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.07$ |
| 20–40 | 26.2 | $0.50 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.05$ | $0.89 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.08$ |




Figure 2: The ratio of the χ_{b2} and χ_{b1} production cross sections, as a function of p_T^Y , before (left) and after (right) multiplying by the ratio of the $Y(1S) + \gamma$ branching fractions, as measured by CMS and LHCb [12] (right only). The vertical bars represent the statistical (inner bars) and total (outer bars) experimental uncertainty, respectively. The horizontal bars show the width of each bin. The dashed line in the right plot is a fit of a constant to the CMS measurements, and the horizontal band is the total uncertainty in the fit result. The 9% uncertainty in the ratio of branching fractions, which applies to all bins of p_T^Y , is not included. The curved band represents the result of a theoretical calculation [24].

The open circles in Fig. 2, right panel, show the LHCb measurement [12], which can be compared to the CMS result since no significant dependence of the ratio on the rapidity is expected. The shaded area in the right panel of Fig. 2 shows a theoretical calculation [24] performed in the framework of NRQCD. Since data on χ_b production were not available until recently, in this calculation the LDMEs are extracted from experimental data on the $\sigma(\chi_{c2}) / \sigma(\chi_{c1})$ cross section ratio [7, 9, 10] and extrapolated, using NRQCD scaling rules, to the case of P-wave bottomonium. The dashed line in Fig. 2 (right) gives the result of a fit of the CMS measurements to a constant, corresponding to 0.85 ± 0.07 , where the uncertainty includes both statistical and systematic uncertainties, but not the uncertainty in the ratio of the χ_b branching fractions. A constant behavior is expected in the case of color-octet dominance. The measurements do not indicate the large increase in the ratio at low p_T^Y and differ by more than two standard deviations from the asymptotic value at high p_T predicted by the theory. More precise measurements may be needed in order to thoroughly test the validity of NRQCD in the P-wave bottomonium sector.

7 Summary

The production cross section ratio $\sigma(\chi_{b2}(1P))/\sigma(\chi_{b1}(1P))$ has been measured in pp collisions by detecting the radiative decays to an $Y(1S)$ and a photon, with the $Y(1S)$ decaying to two muons. Events are selected where the $Y(1S)$ and photon are emitted in the phase-space region defined by $|y^Y| < 1.5$ and $|\eta^\gamma| < 1.0$, in four bins of $Y(1S)$ p_T , spanning the range 7–40 GeV. The measurement has been performed using a data sample collected by the CMS experiment in 2012, at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 20.7 fb^{-1} . The cross section ratio averaged over the $Y(1S)$ p_T range is measured to be $0.85 \pm 0.07 (\text{stat+syst}) \pm 0.08 (\text{BF})$, where the first uncertainty is the combination of the experimental statistical and systematic uncertainties and the second is from the uncertainty in the ratio of the χ_b branching fractions. The ratio does not show a significant dependence on the $Y(1S)$ p_T . This is the most precise measurement to date of the χ_{b2} and χ_{b1} relative production cross sections in hadron collisions, which complements and extends the results of Ref. [12] obtained in the kinematic region $2.0 < y(\chi_b) < 4.5$, $5.0 < p_T(Y) < 25$ GeV.

Acknowledgements

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centres and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMWFW and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); MoER, ERC IUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); OTKA and NIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); NRF and WCU (Republic of Korea); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); CINVESTAV, CONACYT, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS and RFBR (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI and CPAN (Spain); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU and SFFR (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie programme and the European Research Council and EPLANET (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the A. P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the HOMING PLUS programme of Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced from European Union, Regional Development Fund; the Compagnia di San Paolo (Torino); the Consorzio per la Fisica (Trieste); MIUR project 20108T4XTM (Italy); the Thalís and Aristeia programmes cofinanced by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; and the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund.

References

- [1] N. Brambilla et al., “Heavy quarkonium: progress, puzzles, and opportunities”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1534, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1534-9, arXiv:1010.5827.
- [2] G. T. Bodwin, E. Braaten, and G. P. Lepage, “Rigorous QCD analysis of inclusive annihilation and production of heavy quarkonium”, *Phys. Rev. D* **51** (1995) 1125, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.51.1125, arXiv:hep-ph/9407339.
- [3] Y.-Q. Ma, K. Wang, and K.-T. Chao, “QCD radiative corrections to χ_{cJ} production at hadron colliders”, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 111503, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.83.111503, arXiv:1002.3987.
- [4] M. Butenschoen and B. A. Kniehl, “ J/ψ polarization at the Tevatron and the LHC: nonrelativistic-QCD factorization at the crossroads”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012) 172002, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.172002, arXiv:1201.1872.
- [5] K.-T. Chao et al., “ J/ψ polarization at hadron colliders in nonrelativistic QCD”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012) 242004, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.242004, arXiv:1201.2675.
- [6] B. Gong, L.-P. Wan, J.-X. Wang, and H.-F. Zhang, “Polarization for prompt J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ production at the Tevatron and LHC”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013) 042002, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.042002, arXiv:1205.6682.
- [7] CDF Collaboration, “Measurement of $\sigma_{\chi_{c2}}\mathcal{B}(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma)/\sigma_{\chi_{c1}}\mathcal{B}(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma)$ in $p\bar{p}$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98** (2007) 232001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.232001, arXiv:hep-ph/0703028.
- [8] A. K. Likhoded, A. V. Luchinsky, and S. V. Poslavsky, “Production of χ_b mesons at the LHC”, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 074027, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.074027, arXiv:1203.4893.
- [9] LHCb Collaboration, “Measurement of the cross-section ratio $\sigma(\chi_{c2})/\sigma(\chi_{c1})$ for prompt χ_c production at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **714** (2012) 215, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.06.077, arXiv:1202.1080.
- [10] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the relative prompt production rate of χ_{c2} and χ_{c1} in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 2251, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-2251-3, arXiv:1210.0875.
- [11] ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurement of χ_{c1} and χ_{c2} production with $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV pp collisions at ATLAS”, *JHEP* **07** (2014) 154, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2014)154, arXiv:1404.7035.
- [12] LHCb Collaboration, “Measurement of the $\chi_b(3P)$ mass and of the relative rate of $\chi_{b1}(1P)$ and $\chi_{b2}(1P)$ production”, *JHEP* **10** (2014) 88, doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2014)088, arXiv:1409.1408.
- [13] Particle Data Group, J. Beringer et al., “Review of Particle Physics”, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 010001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.010001.
- [14] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC”, *JINST* **3** (2008) S08004, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004.

- [15] J. Allison et al., “Geant4 developments and applications”, *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* **53** (2006) 270, doi:10.1109/TNS.2006.869826.
- [16] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, “PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual”, *JHEP* **05** (2006) 026, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/026, arXiv:hep-ph/0603175.
- [17] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the $Y(1S)$, $Y(2S)$, and $Y(3S)$ cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **727** (2013) 101, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.10.033, arXiv:1303.5900.
- [18] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of CMS muon reconstruction in pp collision events at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, *JINST* **7** (2012) P10002, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/7/10/P10002, arXiv:1206.4071.
- [19] CMS Collaboration, “Description and performance of track and primary-vertex reconstruction with the CMS tracker”, *JINST* **9** (2014) P10009, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/9/10/P10009, arXiv:1405.6569.
- [20] C.-W. Hwang and R.-S. Guo, “Two-photon and two-gluon decays of p -wave heavy quarkonium using a covariant light-front approach”, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 034021, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.82.034021, arXiv:1005.2811.
- [21] M. J. Oreglia, “A study of the reactions $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\psi$ ”. PhD thesis, Stanford University, 1980. SLAC Report SLAC-R-236.
- [22] P. Faccioli, C. Lourenço, J. Seixas, and H. K. Wöhri, “Determination of χ_c and χ_b polarizations from dilepton angular distributions in radiative decays”, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 096001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.83.096001, arXiv:1103.4882.
- [23] J. C. Collins and D. E. Soper, “Angular distribution of dileptons in high-energy hadron collisions”, *Phys. Rev. D* **16** (1977) 2219, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.16.2219.
- [24] A. K. Likhoded, A. V. Luchinsky, and S. V. Poslavsky, “Production of χ_c and χ_b mesons in high energy hadronic collisions”, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 074021, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.074021, arXiv:1409.0693.

A The CMS Collaboration

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

V. Khachatryan, A.M. Sirunyan, A. Tumasyan

Institut für Hochenergiephysik der OeAW, Wien, Austria

W. Adam, T. Bergauer, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, C. Fabjan¹, M. Friedl, R. Frühwirth¹, V.M. Ghete, C. Hartl, N. Hörmann, J. Hrubec, M. Jeitler¹, W. Kiesenhofer, V. Knünz, M. Krammer¹, I. Krätschmer, D. Liko, I. Mikulec, D. Rabady², B. Rahbaran, H. Rohringer, R. Schöfbeck, J. Strauss, A. Taurok, W. Treberer-Treberspurg, W. Waltenberger, C.-E. Wulz¹

National Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Belarus

V. Mossolov, N. Shumeiko, J. Suarez Gonzalez

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

S. Alderweireldt, M. Bansal, S. Bansal, T. Cornelis, E.A. De Wolf, X. Janssen, A. Knutsson, S. Luyckx, S. Ochesanu, B. Roland, R. Rougny, M. Van De Klundert, H. Van Haevermaet, P. Van Mechelen, N. Van Remortel, A. Van Spilbeeck

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

F. Blekman, S. Blyweert, J. D'Hondt, N. Daci, N. Heracleous, J. Keaveney, S. Lowette, M. Maes, A. Olbrechts, Q. Python, D. Strom, S. Tavernier, W. Van Doninck, P. Van Mulders, G.P. Van Onsem, I. Vilella

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

C. Caillol, B. Clerbaux, G. De Lentdecker, D. Dobur, L. Favart, A.P.R. Gay, A. Grebenyuk, A. Léonard, A. Mohammadi, L. Pernie², T. Reis, T. Seva, L. Thomas, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, J. Wang

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

V. Adler, K. Beernaert, L. Benucci, A. Cimmino, S. Costantini, S. Crucy, S. Dildick, A. Fagot, G. Garcia, J. McCartin, A.A. Ocampo Rios, D. Ryckbosch, S. Salva Diblen, M. Sigamani, N. Strobbe, F. Thyssen, M. Tytgat, E. Yazgan, N. Zaganidis

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

S. Basegmez, C. Beluffi³, G. Bruno, R. Castello, A. Caudron, L. Ceard, G.G. Da Silva, C. Delaere, T. du Pree, D. Favart, L. Forthomme, A. Giammanco⁴, J. Hollar, P. Jez, M. Komm, V. Lemaitre, C. Nuttens, D. Pagano, L. Perrini, A. Pin, K. Piotrkowski, A. Popov⁵, L. Quertenmont, M. Selvaggi, M. Vidal Marono, J.M. Vizan Garcia

Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium

N. Beliy, T. Caebergs, E. Daubie, G.H. Hammad

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W.L. Aldá Júnior, G.A. Alves, L. Brito, M. Correa Martins Junior, T. Dos Reis Martins, C. Mora Herrera, M.E. Pol

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W. Carvalho, J. Chinellato⁶, A. Custódio, E.M. Da Costa, D. De Jesus Damiao, C. De Oliveira Martins, S. Fonseca De Souza, H. Malbouisson, D. Matos Figueiredo, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, W.L. Prado Da Silva, J. Santaolalla, A. Santoro, A. Sznajder, E.J. Tonelli Manganote⁶, A. Vilela Pereira

Universidade Estadual Paulista ^a, Universidade Federal do ABC ^b, São Paulo, Brazil

C.A. Bernardes^b, S. Dogra^a, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei^a, E.M. Gregores^b, P.G. Mercadante^b, S.F. Novaes^a, Sandra S. Padula^a

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Aleksandrov, V. Genchev², P. Iaydjiev, A. Marinov, S. Piperov, M. Rodozov, S. Stoykova, G. Sultanov, V. Tcholakov, M. Vutova

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Dimitrov, I. Glushkov, R. Hadjiiska, V. Kozhuharov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

J.G. Bian, G.M. Chen, H.S. Chen, M. Chen, R. Du, C.H. Jiang, S. Liang, R. Plestina⁷, J. Tao, X. Wang, Z. Wang

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

C. Asawatrangkuldee, Y. Ban, Y. Guo, Q. Li, W. Li, S. Liu, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, D. Wang, L. Zhang, W. Zou

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

C. Avila, L.F. Chaparro Sierra, C. Florez, J.P. Gomez, B. Gomez Moreno, J.C. Sanabria

University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia

N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, D. Polic, I. Puljak

University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia

Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

V. Brigljevic, K. Kadija, J. Luetic, D. Mekterovic, L. Sudic

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

A. Attikis, G. Mavromanolakis, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

M. Bodlak, M. Finger, M. Finger Jr.⁸

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt

Y. Assran⁹, A. Ellithi Kamel¹⁰, M.A. Mahmoud¹¹, A. Radi^{12,13}

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

M. Kadastik, M. Murumaa, M. Raidal, A. Tiko

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

P. Eerola, G. Fedi, M. Voutilainen

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

J. Härkönen, V. Karimäki, R. Kinnunen, M.J. Kortelainen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, P. Luukka, T. Mäenpää, T. Peltola, E. Tuominen, J. Tuominiemi, E. Tuovinen, L. Wendland

Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

T. Tuuva

DSM/IRFU, CEA/Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

M. Besancon, F. Couderc, M. Dejardin, D. Denegri, B. Fabbro, J.L. Faure, C. Favaro, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, E. Locci, J. Malcles, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, M. Titov

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France

S. Baffioni, F. Beaudette, P. Busson, C. Charlot, T. Dahms, M. Dalchenko, L. Dobrzynski, N. Filipovic, A. Florent, R. Granier de Cassagnac, L. Mastrolorenzo, P. Miné, C. Mironov, I.N. Naranjo, M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, P. Paganini, S. Regnard, R. Salerno, J.B. Sauvan, Y. Sirois, C. Veelken, Y. Yilmaz, A. Zabi

Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France

J.-L. Agram¹⁴, J. Andrea, A. Aubin, D. Bloch, J.-M. Brom, E.C. Chabert, C. Collard, E. Conte¹⁴, J.-C. Fontaine¹⁴, D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, C. Goetzmann, A.-C. Le Bihan, P. Van Hove

Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France

S. Gadrat

Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France

S. Beauceron, N. Beaupere, G. Boudoul², E. Bouvier, S. Brochet, C.A. Carrillo Montoya, J. Chasserat, R. Chierici, D. Contardo², P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, J. Fan, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, B. Ille, T. Kurca, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, S. Perries, J.D. Ruiz Alvarez, D. Sabes, L. Sgandurra, V. Sordini, M. Vander Donckt, P. Verdier, S. Viret, H. Xiao

Institute of High Energy Physics and Informatization, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

Z. Tsamalaidze⁸

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

C. Autermann, S. Beranek, M. Bontenackels, M. Edelhoff, L. Feld, O. Hindrichs, K. Klein, A. Ostapchuk, A. Perieanu, F. Raupach, J. Sammet, S. Schael, H. Weber, B. Wittmer, V. Zhukov⁵

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

M. Ata, E. Dietz-Laursonn, D. Duchardt, M. Erdmann, R. Fischer, A. Güth, T. Hebbeker, C. Heidemann, K. Hoepfner, D. Klingebiel, S. Knutzen, P. Kreuzer, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, P. Millet, M. Olschewski, K. Padeken, P. Papacz, H. Reithler, S.A. Schmitz, L. Sonnenschein, D. Teyssier, S. Thüer, M. Weber

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

V. Cherepanov, Y. Erdogan, G. Flügge, H. Geenen, M. Geisler, W. Haj Ahmad, A. Heister, F. Hoehle, B. Kargoll, T. Kress, Y. Kuessel, J. Lingemann², A. Nowack, I.M. Nugent, L. Perchalla, O. Pooth, A. Stahl

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

I. Asin, N. Bartosik, J. Behr, W. Behrenhoff, U. Behrens, A.J. Bell, M. Bergholz¹⁵, A. Bethani, K. Borras, A. Burgmeier, A. Cakir, L. Calligaris, A. Campbell, S. Choudhury, F. Costanza, C. Diez Pardos, S. Dooling, T. Dorland, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, T. Eichhorn, G. Flucke, J. Garay Garcia, A. Geiser, P. Gunnellini, J. Hauk, M. Hempel, D. Horton, H. Jung, A. Kalogeropoulos, M. Kasemann, P. Katsas, J. Kieseler, C. Kleinwort, D. Krücker, W. Lange, J. Leonard, K. Lipka, A. Lobanov, W. Lohmann¹⁵, B. Lutz, R. Mankel, I. Marfin, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, A.B. Meyer, G. Mittag, J. Mnich, A. Mussgiller, S. Naumann-Emme, A. Nayak, O. Novgorodova, F. Nowak,

E. Ntomari, H. Perrey, D. Pitzl, R. Placakyte, A. Raspereza, P.M. Ribeiro Cipriano, E. Ron, M.Ö. Sahin, J. Salfeld-Nebgen, P. Saxena, R. Schmidt¹⁵, T. Schoerner-Sadenius, M. Schröder, C. Seitz, S. Spannagel, A.D.R. Vargas Trevino, R. Walsh, C. Wissing

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

M. Aldaya Martin, V. Blobel, M. Centis Vignali, A.R. Draeger, J. Erfle, E. Garutti, K. Goebel, M. Görner, J. Haller, M. Hoffmann, R.S. Höing, H. Kirschenmann, R. Klanner, R. Kogler, J. Lange, T. Lapsien, T. Lenz, I. Marchesini, J. Ott, T. Peiffer, N. Pietsch, J. Poehlsen, T. Poehlsen, D. Rathjens, C. Sander, H. Schettler, P. Schleper, E. Schlieckau, A. Schmidt, M. Seidel, V. Sola, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, D. Troendle, E. Usai, L. Vanelderen

Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany

C. Barth, C. Baus, J. Berger, C. Böser, E. Butz, T. Chwalek, W. De Boer, A. Descroix, A. Dierlamm, M. Feindt, F. Frensch, M. Giffels, F. Hartmann², T. Hauth², U. Husemann, I. Katkov⁵, A. Kornmayer², E. Kuznetsova, P. Lobelle Pardo, M.U. Mozer, Th. Müller, A. Nürnberg, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, F. Ratnikov, S. Röcker, H.J. Simonis, F.M. Stober, R. Ulrich, J. Wagner-Kuhr, S. Wayand, T. Weiler, R. Wolf

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

G. Anagnostou, G. Daskalakis, T. Gerasis, V.A. Giakoumopoulou, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, A. Markou, C. Markou, A. Psallidas, I. Topsis-Giotis

University of Athens, Athens, Greece

S. Kesisoglou, A. Panagiotou, N. Saoulidou, E. Stiliaris

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

X. Aslanoglou, I. Evangelou, G. Flouris, C. Foudas, P. Kokkas, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, E. Paradass

Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

G. Bencze, C. Hajdu, P. Hidas, D. Horvath¹⁶, F. Sikler, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztergombi¹⁷, A.J. Zsigmond

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Karancsi¹⁸, J. Molnar, J. Palinkas, Z. Szillasi

University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

P. Raics, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, India

S.K. Swain

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, N. Dhingra, R. Gupta, U. Bhawandeep, A.K. Kalsi, M. Kaur, M. Mittal, N. Nishu, J.B. Singh

University of Delhi, Delhi, India

Ashok Kumar, Arun Kumar, S. Ahuja, A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, A. Kumar, S. Malhotra, M. Naimuddin, K. Ranjan, V. Sharma

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India

S. Banerjee, S. Bhattacharya, K. Chatterjee, S. Dutta, B. Gomber, Sa. Jain, Sh. Jain, R. Khurana, A. Modak, S. Mukherjee, D. Roy, S. Sarkar, M. Sharan

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India

A. Abdulsalam, D. Dutta, S. Kailas, V. Kumar, A.K. Mohanty², L.M. Pant, P. Shukla, A. Topkar

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India

T. Aziz, S. Banerjee, S. Bhowmik¹⁹, R.M. Chatterjee, R.K. Dewanjee, S. Dugad, S. Ganguly, S. Ghosh, M. Guchait, A. Gurtu²⁰, G. Kole, S. Kumar, M. Maity¹⁹, G. Majumder, K. Mazumdar, G.B. Mohanty, B. Parida, K. Sudhakar, N. Wickramage²¹

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

H. Bakhshiansohi, H. Behnamian, S.M. Etesami²², A. Fahim²³, R. Goldouzian, A. Jafari, M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, M. Naseri, S. Paktinat Mehdiabadi, F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi, B. Safarzadeh²⁴, M. Zeinali

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

M. Felcini, M. Grunewald

INFN Sezione di Bari ^a, Università di Bari ^b, Politecnico di Bari ^c, Bari, Italy

M. Abbrescia^{a,b}, L. Barbone^{a,b}, C. Calabria^{a,b}, S.S. Chhibra^{a,b}, A. Colaleo^a, D. Creanza^{a,c}, N. De Filippis^{a,c}, M. De Palma^{a,b}, L. Fiore^a, G. Iaselli^{a,c}, G. Maggi^{a,c}, M. Maggi^a, S. My^{a,c}, S. Nuzzo^{a,b}, A. Pompili^{a,b}, G. Pugliese^{a,c}, R. Radogna^{a,b,2}, G. Selvaggi^{a,b}, L. Silvestris^{a,2}, G. Singh^{a,b}, R. Venditti^{a,b}, P. Verwilligen^a, G. Zito^a

INFN Sezione di Bologna ^a, Università di Bologna ^b, Bologna, Italy

G. Abbiendi^a, A.C. Benvenuti^a, D. Bonacorsi^{a,b}, S. Braibant-Giacomelli^{a,b}, L. Brigliadori^{a,b}, R. Campanini^{a,b}, P. Capiluppi^{a,b}, A. Castro^{a,b}, F.R. Cavallo^a, G. Codispoti^{a,b}, M. Cuffiani^{a,b}, G.M. Dallavalle^a, F. Fabbri^a, A. Fanfani^{a,b}, D. Fasanella^{a,b}, P. Giacomelli^a, C. Grandi^a, L. Guiducci^{a,b}, S. Marcellini^a, G. Masetti^{a,2}, A. Montanari^a, F.L. Navarria^{a,b}, A. Perrotta^a, F. Primavera^{a,b}, A.M. Rossi^{a,b}, T. Rovelli^{a,b}, G.P. Siroli^{a,b}, N. Tosi^{a,b}, R. Travaglini^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Catania ^a, Università di Catania ^b, CSFNSM ^c, Catania, Italy

S. Albergo^{a,b}, G. Cappello^a, M. Chiorboli^{a,b}, S. Costa^{a,b}, F. Giordano^{a,2}, R. Potenza^{a,b}, A. Tricomi^{a,b}, C. Tuve^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Firenze ^a, Università di Firenze ^b, Firenze, Italy

G. Barbagli^a, V. Ciulli^{a,b}, C. Civinini^a, R. D'Alessandro^{a,b}, E. Focardi^{a,b}, E. Gallo^a, S. Gonzi^{a,b}, V. Gori^{a,b,2}, P. Lenzi^{a,b}, M. Meschini^a, S. Paoletti^a, G. Sguazzoni^a, A. Tropiano^{a,b}

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

L. Benussi, S. Bianco, F. Fabbri, D. Piccolo

INFN Sezione di Genova ^a, Università di Genova ^b, Genova, Italy

F. Ferro^a, M. Lo Vetere^{a,b}, E. Robutti^a, S. Tosi^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca ^a, Università di Milano-Bicocca ^b, Milano, Italy

M.E. Dinardo^{a,b}, S. Fiorendi^{a,b,2}, S. Gennai^{a,2}, R. Gerosa², A. Ghezzi^{a,b}, P. Govoni^{a,b}, M.T. Lucchini^{a,b,2}, S. Malvezzi^a, R.A. Manzoni^{a,b}, A. Martelli^{a,b}, B. Marzocchi, D. Menasce^a, L. Moroni^a, M. Paganoni^{a,b}, D. Pedrini^a, S. Ragazzi^{a,b}, N. Redaelli^a, T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Napoli ^a, Università di Napoli 'Federico II' ^b, Università della Basilicata (Potenza) ^c, Università G. Marconi (Roma) ^d, Napoli, Italy

S. Buontempo^a, N. Cavallo^{a,c}, S. Di Guida^{a,d,2}, F. Fabozzi^{a,c}, A.O.M. Iorio^{a,b}, L. Lista^a, S. Meola^{a,d,2}, M. Merola^a, P. Paolucci^{a,2}

INFN Sezione di Padova ^a, Università di Padova ^b, Università di Trento (Trento) ^c, Padova, Italy

P. Azzi^a, N. Bacchetta^a, D. Bisello^{a,b}, A. Branca^{a,b}, R. Carlin^{a,b}, P. Checchia^a, M. Dall’Osso^{a,b}, T. Dorigo^a, U. Dosselli^a, M. Galanti^{a,b}, F. Gasparini^{a,b}, U. Gasparini^{a,b}, P. Giubilato^{a,b}, F. Gonella^a, A. Gozzelino^a, K. Kanishchev^{a,c}, S. Lacaprara^a, M. Margoni^{a,b}, F. Montecassiano^a, J. Pazzini^{a,b}, N. Pozzobon^{a,b}, P. Ronchese^{a,b}, F. Simonetto^{a,b}, M. Tosi^{a,b}, P. Zotto^{a,b}, A. Zucchetta^{a,b}, G. Zumerle^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Pavia ^a, Università di Pavia ^b, Pavia, Italy

M. Gabusi^{a,b}, S.P. Ratti^{a,b}, C. Riccardi^{a,b}, P. Salvini^a, P. Vitulo^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Perugia ^a, Università di Perugia ^b, Perugia, Italy

M. Biasini^{a,b}, G.M. Bilei^a, D. Ciangottini^{a,b}, L. Fanò^{a,b}, P. Lariccia^{a,b}, G. Mantovani^{a,b}, M. Menichelli^a, F. Romeo^{a,b}, A. Saha^a, A. Santocchia^{a,b}, A. Spiezia^{a,b,2}

INFN Sezione di Pisa ^a, Università di Pisa ^b, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa ^c, Pisa, Italy

K. Androsov^{a,25}, P. Azzurri^a, G. Bagliesi^a, J. Bernardini^a, T. Boccali^a, G. Broccolo^{a,c}, R. Castaldi^a, M.A. Ciocci^{a,25}, R. Dell’Orso^a, S. Donato^{a,c}, F. Fiori^{a,c}, L. Foà^{a,c}, A. Giassi^a, M.T. Grippo^{a,25}, F. Ligabue^{a,c}, T. Lomtadze^a, L. Martini^{a,b}, A. Messineo^{a,b}, C.S. Moon^{a,26}, F. Palla^{a,2}, A. Rizzi^{a,b}, A. Savoy-Navarro^{a,27}, A.T. Serban^a, P. Spagnolo^a, P. Squillacioti^{a,25}, R. Tenchini^a, G. Tonelli^{a,b}, A. Venturi^a, P.G. Verдини^a, C. Vernieri^{a,c,2}

INFN Sezione di Roma ^a, Università di Roma ^b, Roma, Italy

L. Barone^{a,b}, F. Cavallari^a, G. D’imperio^{a,b}, D. Del Re^{a,b}, M. Diemoz^a, M. Grassi^{a,b}, C. Jorda^a, E. Longo^{a,b}, F. Margaroli^{a,b}, P. Meridiani^a, F. Micheli^{a,b,2}, S. Nourbakhsh^{a,b}, G. Organtini^{a,b}, R. Paramatti^a, S. Rahatlou^{a,b}, C. Rovelli^a, F. Santanastasio^{a,b}, L. Soffi^{a,b,2}, P. Traczyk^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Torino ^a, Università di Torino ^b, Università del Piemonte Orientale (Novara) ^c, Torino, Italy

N. Amapane^{a,b}, R. Arcidiacono^{a,c}, S. Argiro^{a,b,2}, M. Arneodo^{a,c}, R. Bellan^{a,b}, C. Biino^a, N. Cartiglia^a, S. Casasso^{a,b,2}, M. Costa^{a,b}, A. Degano^{a,b}, N. Demaria^a, G. Dujany^{a,b}, L. Finco^{a,b}, C. Mariotti^a, S. Maselli^a, E. Migliore^{a,b}, V. Monaco^{a,b}, M. Musich^a, M.M. Obertino^{a,c,2}, G. Ortona^{a,b}, L. Pacher^{a,b}, N. Pastrone^a, M. Pelliccioni^a, G.L. Pinna Angioni^{a,b}, A. Potenza^{a,b}, A. Romero^{a,b}, M. Ruspa^{a,c}, R. Sacchi^{a,b}, A. Solano^{a,b}, A. Staiano^a, U. Tamponi^a

INFN Sezione di Trieste ^a, Università di Trieste ^b, Trieste, Italy

S. Belforte^a, V. Candelise^{a,b}, M. Casarsa^a, F. Cossutti^a, G. Della Ricca^{a,b}, B. Gobbo^a, C. La Licata^{a,b}, M. Marone^{a,b}, D. Montanino^{a,b}, A. Schizzi^{a,b,2}, T. Umer^{a,b}, A. Zanetti^a

Kangwon National University, Chunchon, Korea

S. Chang, A. Kropivnitskaya, S.K. Nam

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, M.S. Kim, D.J. Kong, S. Lee, Y.D. Oh, H. Park, A. Sakharov, D.C. Son

Chonbuk National University, Jeonju, Korea

T.J. Kim

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea

J.Y. Kim, S. Song

Korea University, Seoul, Korea

S. Choi, D. Gyun, B. Hong, M. Jo, H. Kim, Y. Kim, B. Lee, K.S. Lee, S.K. Park, Y. Roh

University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea

M. Choi, J.H. Kim, I.C. Park, S. Park, G. Ryu, M.S. Ryu

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea

Y. Choi, Y.K. Choi, J. Goh, D. Kim, E. Kwon, J. Lee, H. Seo, I. Yu

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

A. Juodagalvis

National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

J.R. Komaragiri, M.A.B. Md Ali

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico

H. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, I. Heredia-de La Cruz²⁸, R. Lopez-Fernandez, A. Sanchez-Hernandez

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico

S. Carrillo Moreno, F. Vazquez Valencia

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

I. Pedraza, H.A. Salazar Ibarguen

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico

E. Casimiro Linares, A. Morelos Pineda

University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

D. Krofcheck

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

P.H. Butler, S. Reucroft

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

A. Ahmad, M. Ahmad, Q. Hassan, H.R. Hoorani, S. Khalid, W.A. Khan, T. Khurshid, M.A. Shah, M. Shoaib

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland

H. Bialkowska, M. Bluj, B. Boimska, T. Frueboes, M. Górski, M. Kazana, K. Nawrocki, K. Romanowska-Rybinska, M. Szleper, P. Zalewski

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

G. Brona, K. Bunkowski, M. Cwiok, W. Dominik, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski, M. Misiura, M. Olszewski, W. Wolszczak

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal

P. Bargassa, C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva, P. Faccioli, P.G. Ferreira Parracho, M. Gallinaro, F. Nguyen, J. Rodrigues Antunes, J. Seixas, J. Varela, P. Vischia

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

I. Golutvin, I. Gorbunov, V. Karjavin, V. Konoplyanikov, V. Korenkov, A. Lanev, A. Malakhov, V. Matveev²⁹, V.V. Mitsyn, P. Moisezenz, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, S. Shmatov, N. Skatchkov, V. Smirnov, E. Tikhonenko, B.S. Yuldashev³⁰, A. Zarubin

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia

V. Golovtsov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim³¹, P. Levchenko, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, I. Smirnov, V. Sulimov, L. Uvarov, S. Vavilov, A. Vorobyev, An. Vorobyev

Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia

Yu. Andreev, A. Dermenev, S. Gninenko, N. Golubev, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, A. Pashenkov, D. Tlisov, A. Toropin

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia

V. Epshteyn, V. Gavrilov, N. Lychkovskaya, V. Popov, G. Safronov, S. Semenov, A. Spiridonov, V. Stolin, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin

P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia

V. Andreev, M. Azarkin, I. Dremin, M. Kirakosyan, A. Leonidov, G. Mesyats, S.V. Rusakov, A. Vinogradov

Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

A. Belyaev, E. Boos, M. Dubinin³², L. Dudko, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, V. Klyukhin, O. Kodolova, I. Lokhtin, S. Obraztsov, S. Petrushanko, V. Savrin, A. Snigirev

State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia

I. Azhgirey, I. Bayshev, S. Bitioukov, V. Kachanov, A. Kalinin, D. Konstantinov, V. Krychkine, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, A. Sobol, L. Tourtchanovitch, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia

P. Adzic³³, M. Ekmedzic, J. Milosevic, V. Rekovic

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

J. Alcaraz Maestre, C. Battilana, E. Calvo, M. Cerrada, M. Chamizo Llatas, N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, D. Domínguez Vázquez, A. Escalante Del Valle, C. Fernandez Bedoya, J.P. Fernández Ramos, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, P. Garcia-Abia, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, G. Merino, E. Navarro De Martino, A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo, J. Puerta Pelayo, A. Quintario Olmeda, I. Redondo, L. Romero, M.S. Soares

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

C. Albajar, J.F. de Trocóniz, M. Missiroli, D. Moran

Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain

H. Brun, J. Cuevas, J. Fernandez Menendez, S. Folgueras, I. Gonzalez Caballero, L. Lloret Iglesias

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, J. Duarte Campderros, M. Fernandez, G. Gomez, A. Graziano, A. Lopez Virto, J. Marco, R. Marco, C. Martinez Rivero, F. Matorras, F.J. Munoz Sanchez, J. Piedra Gomez, T. Rodrigo, A.Y. Rodríguez-Marrero, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Scodellaro, I. Vila, R. Vilar Cortabitarte

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

D. Abbaneo, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, M. Bachtis, P. Baillon, A.H. Ball, D. Barney, A. Benaglia, J. Bendavid, L. Benhabib, J.F. Benitez, C. Bernet⁷, G. Bianchi, P. Bloch, A. Bocci, A. Bonato, O. Bondu, C. Botta, H. Breuker, T. Camporesi, G. Cerminara, S. Colafranceschi³⁴, M. D'Alfonso, D. d'Enterria, A. Dabrowski, A. David, F. De Guio, A. De Roeck, S. De Visscher, M. Dobson, M. Dordevic, N. Dupont-Sagorin, A. Elliott-Peisert, J. Eugster, G. Franzoni, W. Funk, D. Gigi, K. Gill, D. Giordano, M. Girone, F. Glege, R. Guida, S. Gundacker, M. Guthoff, J. Hammer,

M. Hansen, P. Harris, J. Hegeman, V. Innocente, P. Janot, K. Kousouris, K. Krajczar, P. Lecoq, C. Lourenço, N. Magini, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli, J. Marrouche, L. Masetti, F. Meijers, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, F. Moortgat, S. Morovic, M. Mulders, P. Musella, L. Orsini, L. Pape, E. Perez, L. Perrozzi, A. Petrilli, G. Petrucciani, A. Pfeiffer, M. Pierini, M. Pimiä, D. Piparo, M. Plagge, A. Racz, G. Rolandi³⁵, M. Rovere, H. Sakulin, C. Schäfer, C. Schwick, A. Sharma, P. Siegrist, P. Silva, M. Simon, P. Sphicas³⁶, D. Spiga, J. Steggemann, B. Stieger, M. Stoye, D. Treille, A. Tsirou, G.I. Veres¹⁷, J.R. Vlimant, N. Wardle, H.K. Wöhri, H. Wollny, W.D. Zeuner

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

W. Bertl, K. Deiters, W. Erdmann, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, D. Renker, T. Rohe

Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

F. Bachmair, L. Bäni, L. Bianchini, P. Bortignon, M.A. Buchmann, B. Casal, N. Chanon, A. Deisher, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà, M. Dünser, P. Eller, C. Grab, D. Hits, W. Lustermann, B. Mangano, A.C. Marini, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, D. Meister, N. Mohr, C. Nägeli³⁷, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, F. Pandolfi, F. Pauss, M. Peruzzi, M. Quittnat, L. Rebane, M. Rossini, A. Starodumov³⁸, M. Takahashi, K. Theofilatos, R. Wallny, H.A. Weber

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

C. Amsler³⁹, M.F. Canelli, V. Chiochia, A. De Cosa, A. Hinzmann, T. Hreus, B. Kilminster, C. Lange, B. Millan Mejias, J. Ngadiuba, P. Robmann, F.J. Ronga, S. Taroni, M. Verzetti, Y. Yang

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

M. Cardaci, K.H. Chen, C. Ferro, C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, Y.J. Lu, R. Volpe, S.S. Yu

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

P. Chang, Y.H. Chang, Y.W. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, P.H. Chen, C. Dietz, U. Grundler, W.-S. Hou, K.Y. Kao, Y.J. Lei, Y.F. Liu, R.-S. Lu, D. Majumder, E. Petrakou, Y.M. Tzeng, R. Wilken

Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand

B. Asavapibhop, N. Srimanobhas, N. Suwonjandee

Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey

A. Adiguzel, M.N. Bakirci⁴⁰, S. Cerci⁴¹, C. Dozen, I. Dumanoglu, E. Eskut, S. Girgis, G. Gokbulut, E. Gurpinar, I. Hos, E.E. Kangal, A. Kayis Topaksu, G. Onengut⁴², K. Ozdemir, S. Ozturk⁴⁰, A. Polatoz, K. Sogut⁴³, D. Sunar Cerci⁴¹, B. Tali⁴¹, H. Topakli⁴⁰, M. Vergili

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

I.V. Akin, B. Bilin, S. Bilmis, H. Gamsizkan, G. Karapinar⁴⁴, K. Ocalan, S. Sekmen, U.E. Surat, M. Yalvac, M. Zeyrek

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

E. Gülmez, B. Isildak⁴⁵, M. Kaya⁴⁶, O. Kaya⁴⁷

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

H. Bahtiyar⁴⁸, E. Barlas, K. Cankocak, F.I. Vardarli, M. Yücel

National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine

L. Levchuk, P. Sorokin

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

J.J. Brooke, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, R. Frazier, J. Goldstein, M. Grimes, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, J. Jacob, L. Kreczko, C. Lucas, Z. Meng, D.M. Newbold⁴⁹, S. Paramesvaran, A. Poll, S. Senkin, V.J. Smith, T. Williams

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev⁵⁰, C. Brew, R.M. Brown, D.J.A. Cockerill, J.A. Coughlan, K. Harder, S. Harper, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, A. Thea, I.R. Tomalin, W.J. Womersley, S.D. Worm

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

M. Baber, R. Bainbridge, O. Buchmuller, D. Burton, D. Colling, N. Cripps, M. Cutajar, P. Dauncey, G. Davies, M. Della Negra, P. Dunne, W. Ferguson, J. Fulcher, D. Futyan, A. Gilbert, G. Hall, G. Iles, M. Jarvis, G. Karapostoli, M. Kenzie, R. Lane, R. Lucas⁴⁹, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, S. Malik, B. Mathias, J. Nash, A. Nikitenko³⁸, J. Pela, M. Pesaresi, K. Petridis, D.M. Raymond, S. Rogerson, A. Rose, C. Seez, P. Sharp[†], A. Tapper, M. Vazquez Acosta, T. Virdee

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, D. Leggat, D. Leslie, W. Martin, I.D. Reid, P. Symonds, L. Teodorescu, M. Turner

Baylor University, Waco, USA

J. Dittmann, K. Hatakeyama, A. Kasmi, H. Liu, T. Scarborough

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA

O. Charaf, S.I. Cooper, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio

Boston University, Boston, USA

A. Avetisyan, T. Bose, C. Fantasia, P. Lawson, C. Richardson, J. Rohlf, D. Sperka, J. St. John, L. Sulak

Brown University, Providence, USA

J. Alimena, E. Berry, S. Bhattacharya, G. Christopher, D. Cutts, Z. Demiragli, A. Ferapontov, A. Garabedian, U. Heintz, G. Kukartsev, E. Laird, G. Landsberg, M. Luk, M. Narain, M. Segala, T. Sinthuprasith, T. Speer, J. Swanson

University of California, Davis, Davis, USA

R. Breedon, G. Breto, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, S. Chauhan, M. Chertok, J. Conway, R. Conway, P.T. Cox, R. Erbacher, M. Gardner, W. Ko, R. Lander, T. Miceli, M. Mulhearn, D. Pellett, J. Pilot, F. Ricci-Tam, M. Searle, S. Shalhout, J. Smith, M. Squires, D. Stolp, M. Tripathi, S. Wilbur, R. Yohay

University of California, Los Angeles, USA

R. Cousins, P. Everaerts, C. Farrell, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, G. Rakness, E. Takasugi, V. Valuev, M. Weber

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA

J. Babb, K. Burt, R. Clare, J. Ellison, J.W. Gary, G. Hanson, J. Heilman, M. Ivova Rikova, P. Jandir, E. Kennedy, F. Lacroix, H. Liu, O.R. Long, A. Luthra, M. Malberti, H. Nguyen, M. Olmedo Negrete, A. Shrinivas, S. Sumowidagdo, S. Wimpenny

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA

W. Andrews, J.G. Branson, G.B. Cerati, S. Cittolin, R.T. D'Agnolo, D. Evans, A. Holzner, R. Kelley, D. Klein, M. Lebourgeois, J. Letts, I. Macneill, D. Olivito, S. Padhi, C. Palmer, M. Pieri, M. Sani, V. Sharma, S. Simon, E. Sudano, M. Tadel, Y. Tu, A. Vartak, C. Welke, F. Würthwein, A. Yagil, J. Yoo

University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, USA

D. Barge, J. Bradmiller-Feld, C. Campagnari, T. Danielson, A. Dishaw, K. Flowers, M. Franco

Sevilla, P. Geffert, C. George, F. Golf, L. Gouskos, J. Incandela, C. Justus, N. Mccoll, J. Richman, D. Stuart, W. To, C. West

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA

A. Apresyan, A. Bornheim, J. Bunn, Y. Chen, E. Di Marco, J. Duarte, A. Mott, H.B. Newman, C. Pena, C. Rogan, M. Spiropulu, V. Timciuc, R. Wilkinson, S. Xie, R.Y. Zhu

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA

V. Azzolini, A. Calamba, B. Carlson, T. Ferguson, Y. Iiyama, M. Paulini, J. Russ, H. Vogel, I. Vorobiev

University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, USA

J.P. Cumalat, W.T. Ford, A. Gaz, E. Luiggi Lopez, U. Nauenberg, J.G. Smith, K. Stenson, K.A. Ulmer, S.R. Wagner

Cornell University, Ithaca, USA

J. Alexander, A. Chatterjee, J. Chu, S. Dittmer, N. Eggert, N. Mirman, G. Nicolas Kaufman, J.R. Patterson, A. Ryd, E. Salvati, L. Skinnari, W. Sun, W.D. Teo, J. Thom, J. Thompson, J. Tucker, Y. Weng, L. Winstrom, P. Wittich

Fairfield University, Fairfield, USA

D. Winn

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA

S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, J. Anderson, G. Apollinari, L.A.T. Bauerdick, A. Beretvas, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, S. Cihangir, V.D. Elvira, I. Fisk, J. Freeman, Y. Gao, E. Gottschalk, L. Gray, D. Green, S. Grünendahl, O. Gutsche, J. Hanlon, D. Hare, R.M. Harris, J. Hirschauer, B. Hooberman, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, K. Kaadze, B. Klima, B. Kreis, S. Kwan, J. Linacre, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, T. Liu, J. Lykken, K. Maeshima, J.M. Marraffino, V.I. Martinez Outschoorn, S. Maruyama, D. Mason, P. McBride, K. Mishra, S. Mrenna, Y. Musienko²⁹, S. Nahn, C. Newman-Holmes, V. O'Dell, O. Prokofyev, E. Sexton-Kennedy, S. Sharma, A. Soha, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, R. Vidal, A. Whitbeck, J. Whitmore, F. Yang

University of Florida, Gainesville, USA

D. Acosta, P. Avery, D. Bourilkov, M. Carver, T. Cheng, D. Curry, S. Das, M. De Gruttola, G.P. Di Giovanni, R.D. Field, M. Fisher, I.K. Furic, J. Hugon, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, T. Kypreos, J.F. Low, K. Matchev, P. Milenovic⁵¹, G. Mitselmakher, L. Muniz, A. Rinkevicius, L. Shchutska, M. Snowball, J. Yelton, M. Zakaria

Florida International University, Miami, USA

S. Hewamanage, S. Linn, P. Markowitz, G. Martinez, J.L. Rodriguez

Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA

T. Adams, A. Askew, J. Bochenek, B. Diamond, J. Haas, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, K.F. Johnson, H. Prosper, V. Veeraraghavan, M. Weinberg

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA

M.M. Baarmand, M. Hohlmann, H. Kalakhety, F. Yumiceva

University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA

M.R. Adams, L. Apanasevich, V.E. Bazterra, D. Berry, R.R. Betts, I. Bucinskaite, R. Cavanaugh, O. Evdokimov, L. Gauthier, C.E. Gerber, D.J. Hofman, S. Khalatyan, P. Kurt, D.H. Moon, C. O'Brien, C. Silkworth, P. Turner, N. Varelas

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA

E.A. Albayrak⁴⁸, B. Bilki⁵², W. Clarida, K. Dilsiz, F. Duru, M. Haytmyradov, J.-P. Merlo, H. Mermerkaya⁵³, A. Mestvirishvili, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, H. Ogul, Y. Onel, F. Ozok⁴⁸, A. Penzo, R. Rahmat, S. Sen, P. Tan, E. Tiras, J. Wetzel, T. Yetkin⁵⁴, K. Yi

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA

B.A. Barnett, B. Blumenfeld, S. Bolognesi, D. Fehling, A.V. Gritsan, P. Maksimovic, C. Martin, M. Swartz

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA

P. Baringer, A. Bean, G. Benelli, C. Bruner, J. Gray, R.P. Kenny III, M. Malek, M. Murray, D. Noonan, S. Sanders, J. Sekaric, R. Stringer, Q. Wang, J.S. Wood

Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA

A.F. Barfuss, I. Chakaberia, A. Ivanov, S. Khalil, M. Makouski, Y. Maravin, L.K. Saini, S. Shrestha, N. Skhirtladze, I. Svintradze

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA

J. Gronberg, D. Lange, F. Rebassoo, D. Wright

University of Maryland, College Park, USA

A. Baden, A. Belloni, B. Calvert, S.C. Eno, J.A. Gomez, N.J. Hadley, R.G. Kellogg, T. Kolberg, Y. Lu, M. Marionneau, A.C. Mignerey, K. Pedro, A. Skuja, M.B. Tonjes, S.C. Tonwar

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA

A. Apyan, R. Barbieri, G. Bauer, W. Busza, I.A. Cali, M. Chan, L. Di Matteo, V. Dutta, G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, D. Gulhan, M. Klute, Y.S. Lai, Y.-J. Lee, A. Levin, P.D. Luckey, T. Ma, C. Paus, D. Ralph, C. Roland, G. Roland, G.S.F. Stephans, F. Stöckli, K. Sumorok, D. Velicanu, J. Veverka, B. Wyslouch, M. Yang, M. Zanetti, V. Zhukova

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA

B. Dahmes, A. Gude, S.C. Kao, K. Klapoetke, Y. Kubota, J. Mans, N. Pastika, R. Rusack, A. Singovsky, N. Tambe, J. Turkewitz

University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA

J.G. Acosta, S. Oliveros

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA

E. Avdeeva, K. Bloom, S. Bose, D.R. Claes, A. Dominguez, R. Gonzalez Suarez, J. Keller, D. Knowlton, I. Kravchenko, J. Lazo-Flores, S. Malik, F. Meier, G.R. Snow

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA

J. Dolen, A. Godshalk, I. Iashvili, A. Kharchilava, A. Kumar, S. Rappoccio

Northeastern University, Boston, USA

G. Alverson, E. Barberis, D. Baumgartel, M. Chasco, J. Haley, A. Massironi, D.M. Morse, D. Nash, T. Orimoto, D. Trocino, R.-J. Wang, D. Wood, J. Zhang

Northwestern University, Evanston, USA

K.A. Hahn, A. Kubik, N. Mucia, N. Odell, B. Pollack, A. Pozdnyakov, M. Schmitt, S. Stoynev, K. Sung, M. Velasco, S. Won

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA

A. Brinkerhoff, K.M. Chan, A. Drozdetskiy, M. Hildreth, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, N. Kellams, K. Lannon, W. Luo, S. Lynch, N. Marinelli, T. Pearson, M. Planer, R. Ruchti, N. Valls, M. Wayne, M. Wolf, A. Woodard

The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA

L. Antonelli, J. Brinson, B. Bylsma, L.S. Durkin, S. Flowers, C. Hill, R. Hughes, K. Kotov, T.Y. Ling, D. Puigh, M. Rodenburg, G. Smith, B.L. Winer, H. Wolfe, H.W. Wulsin

Princeton University, Princeton, USA

O. Driga, P. Elmer, P. Hebda, A. Hunt, S.A. Koay, P. Lujan, D. Marlow, T. Medvedeva, M. Mooney, J. Olsen, P. Piroué, X. Quan, H. Saka, D. Stickland², C. Tully, J.S. Werner, S.C. Zenz, A. Zuranski

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA

E. Brownson, H. Mendez, J.E. Ramirez Vargas

Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA

V.E. Barnes, D. Benedetti, G. Bolla, D. Bortoletto, M. De Mattia, Z. Hu, M.K. Jha, M. Jones, K. Jung, M. Kress, N. Leonardo, D. Lopes Pegna, V. Maroussov, P. Merkel, D.H. Miller, N. Neumeister, B.C. Radburn-Smith, X. Shi, I. Shipsey, D. Silvers, A. Svyatkovskiy, F. Wang, W. Xie, L. Xu, H.D. Yoo, J. Zablocki, Y. Zheng

Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, USA

N. Parashar, J. Stupak

Rice University, Houston, USA

A. Adair, B. Akgun, K.M. Ecklund, F.J.M. Geurts, W. Li, B. Michlin, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Roberts, J. Zabel

University of Rochester, Rochester, USA

B. Betchart, A. Bodek, R. Covarelli, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, Y. Eshaq, T. Ferbel, A. Garcia-Bellido, P. Goldenzweig, J. Han, A. Harel, A. Khukhunaishvili, G. Petrillo, D. Vishnevskiy

The Rockefeller University, New York, USA

R. Ciesielski, L. Demortier, K. Goulianos, G. Lungu, C. Mesropian

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA

S. Arora, A. Barker, J.P. Chou, C. Contreras-Campana, E. Contreras-Campana, D. Duggan, D. Ferencek, Y. Gershtein, R. Gray, E. Halkiadakis, D. Hidas, S. Kaplan, A. Lath, S. Panwalkar, M. Park, R. Patel, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S. Thomas, P. Thomassen, M. Walker

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA

K. Rose, S. Spanier, A. York

Texas A&M University, College Station, USA

O. Bouhali⁵⁵, A. Castaneda Hernandez, R. Eusebi, W. Flanagan, J. Gilmore, T. Kamon⁵⁶, V. Khotilovich, V. Krutelyov, R. Montalvo, I. Osipenkov, Y. Pakhotin, A. Perloff, J. Roe, A. Rose, A. Safonov, T. Sakuma, I. Suarez, A. Tatarinov

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA

N. Akchurin, C. Cowden, J. Damgov, C. Dragoiu, P.R. Dudero, J. Faulkner, K. Kovitanggoon, S. Kunori, S.W. Lee, T. Libeiro, I. Volobouev

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA

E. Appelt, A.G. Delannoy, S. Greene, A. Gurrola, W. Johns, C. Maguire, Y. Mao, A. Melo, M. Sharma, P. Sheldon, B. Snook, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA

M.W. Arenton, S. Boutle, B. Cox, B. Francis, J. Goodell, R. Hirosky, A. Ledovskoy, H. Li, C. Lin, C. Neu, J. Wood

Wayne State University, Detroit, USA

C. Clarke, R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, C. Kottachchi Kankanamge Don, P. Lamichhane, J. Sturdy

University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA

D.A. Belknap, D. Carlsmith, M. Cepeda, S. Dasu, L. Dodd, S. Duric, E. Friis, R. Hall-Wilton, M. Herndon, A. Hervé, P. Klabbers, A. Lanaro, C. Lazaridis, A. Levine, R. Loveless, A. Mohapatra, I. Ojalvo, T. Perry, G.A. Pierro, G. Polese, I. Ross, T. Sarangi, A. Savin, W.H. Smith, C. Vuosalo, N. Woods

†: Deceased

- 1: Also at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria
- 2: Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland
- 3: Also at Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France
- 4: Also at National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia
- 5: Also at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
- 6: Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil
- 7: Also at Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France
- 8: Also at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
- 9: Also at Suez University, Suez, Egypt
- 10: Also at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt
- 11: Also at Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt
- 12: Also at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt
- 13: Now at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt
- 14: Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France
- 15: Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany
- 16: Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
- 17: Also at Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary
- 18: Also at University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary
- 19: Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India
- 20: Now at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
- 21: Also at University of Ruhuna, Matara, Sri Lanka
- 22: Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran
- 23: Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran
- 24: Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran
- 25: Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy
- 26: Also at Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) - IN2P3, Paris, France
- 27: Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA
- 28: Also at Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolas de Hidalgo, Morelia, Mexico
- 29: Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia
- 30: Also at Institute of Nuclear Physics of the Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, Tashkent, Uzbekistan
- 31: Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia
- 32: Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA
- 33: Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

-
- 34: Also at Facoltà Ingegneria, Università di Roma, Roma, Italy
 - 35: Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy
 - 36: Also at University of Athens, Athens, Greece
 - 37: Also at Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland
 - 38: Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
 - 39: Also at Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Bern, Switzerland
 - 40: Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey
 - 41: Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey
 - 42: Also at Cag University, Mersin, Turkey
 - 43: Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey
 - 44: Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey
 - 45: Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey
 - 46: Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey
 - 47: Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey
 - 48: Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey
 - 49: Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
 - 50: Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom
 - 51: Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia
 - 52: Also at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, USA
 - 53: Also at Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey
 - 54: Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey
 - 55: Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar
 - 56: Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea